

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

REMARKS

JUN 22 2007

Restriction Requirement

In the Office Action of May 29, 2007, the Examiner asserts, in a restriction requirement, that the present application contains claims directed to eight distinct species: species I (figs 2A), subspecies A (fig. 7), subspecies B (fig. 8), species II (fig. 2B), species III (fig. 9A), species IV (fig. 9B), species V (figs. 10A and 10B), species VI (fig. 11-14) and species VII (figs. 19-20).

Applicants concur with the Examiner's finding that all species listed above are patentably distinct.

As required under 35 USC § 121, Applicants elect species VI (figs. 11-14) and the claims listed below readable thereon:

Claims 29-47

Claims 67-79

Claims 87-105

Claims 106-118

Additionally, Applicants expect the Examiner to use a consistent test with respect to what matters are obvious and what matters are unobvious throughout the prosecution of this application. Because the Examiner is adopting a particular standard for patentability in this case in terms of the election/restriction requirement, Applicants will expect that the same test be used throughout the prosecution of this application.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being
facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with
the United States Post Office with sufficient postage as
first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria,
VA 22313-1450 on

6-22-07

(Date of Deposit)

DEREK W YEUNG

(Name of Person Signing)



(Signature)

6-22-07

(Date)

Respectfully submitted,



Derek W. Yeung
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 57472
HRL Laboratories, LLC
3011 Malibu Canyon Road
Malibu, CA 90265
(310) 599-5823